https://doi.org/10.56861/inheriten.v1i1
e-ISSN: 0000-0000

International Journal of Informatics, High-End Research, Innovation, and Emerging Technologies
Inheriten Journal
Vol. 1, No. 1, January 2026 pp. 31-46
Journal Page is available at https://internationaljournal.lppmunsaka.ac.id/index.php/inheriten

Human-Computer Interaction in User Interface Design

Moch Waris Laksana Agung[1], Rosmasari[2], Rattanaporn Kittipong[3]
[1]Universitas Salakanagara, Indonesia
[2]Universitas Mulawarman, Indonesia
[3]Mahidol University, Thailand

Abstract

This paper explores the pivotal role of Human-Computer Interaction in shaping modern user interface design, emphasizing how fundamental HCI principles drive intuitive, efficient, and user-satisfying digital experiences. It delves into the methodologies and theoretical frameworks that underpin effective UI development, highlighting the critical interplay between human cognitive processes and technological capabilities. The discussion further examines the evolving landscape of HCI within specialized fields such as medical education and artificial intelligence, showcasing its adaptability and increasing relevance in complex interactive systems. This includes an in-depth analysis of how HCI principles facilitate collaborative design processes, ensuring that multidisciplinary perspectives are integrated into the development lifecycle from initial specification to production and maintenance. It also investigates the interdisciplinary nature of HCI, drawing from fields like psychology, sociology, and computer science to create user-centric designs. Furthermore, this paper addresses the ethical considerations and evaluation criteria essential for developing responsible and effective generative user interfaces, underscoring the necessity of a conceptual foundation for future HCI research and practical implementation.
Keywords: *Human-Computer Interaction; User Interface Design, Review.*

Introduction

The rapid evolution of digital technologies has profoundly reshaped the landscape of user interface design, transforming it from a mere aesthetic consideration into a critical determinant of system usability, efficiency, and overall user satisfaction. This paradigm shift underscores the pivotal role of Human-Computer Interaction as a foundational discipline in creating intuitive and effective digital experiences (Hartson, 1998). HCI, as an interdisciplinary field, integrates principles from computer science, cognitive psychology, and human factors engineering to optimize the interplay between users and computational systems (Sandblad, 2007). Its ultimate goal is to minimize the cognitive and physical barriers between a user's mental model of a task and the computer's operational understanding, thereby facilitating seamless and natural interactions (Carayannis, 2013). This involves a deep understanding of user behaviors, needs, and limitations, ensuring that technology serves human objectives rather than dictating them (Forlizzi, 2018).

This continuous integration of diverse disciplines allows for the development of interfaces that are not only functional but also highly engaging and adaptable to varying user contexts and preferences (Liu et al., 2010). The evolution of HCI from focusing on physical and cognitive issues to encompassing complex systems and multi-agent interactions highlights its dynamic nature and increasing relevance in contemporary design (Boy, 2022). The unprecedented growth in user interface and human-computer interaction technologies over the past decade necessitates a re-evaluation of requirements for effective and desirable interactions, particularly concerning user affect (Hudlická, 2003). This expanded scope reflects the growing

Vol. 1, No. 1, January 2026, pp. 31-46
https://doi.org/10.56861/inheriten.v1i1.177

recognition that effective interaction extends beyond mere task completion to include emotional responses and long-term user engagement (Niess & Woźniak, 2020). This paper aims to delve into the multifaceted role of HCI in modern user interface design, exploring its theoretical underpinnings, practical applications, and the challenges inherent in crafting user-centric digital environments. It examines how HCI principles guide the creation of interfaces that are not only usable but also intuitive, efficient, and aesthetically pleasing, ultimately enhancing the overall user experience. It further investigates the methods and methodologies employed within HCI to ensure that newly developed systems prioritize usability and effectively meet the diverse needs of their intended users and their associated tasks (Hefley et al., 1994).

This necessitates a rigorous examination of how design choices influence user behavior and perception, leading to interfaces that are not only functional but also intuitive and accessible across diverse user populations and technological platforms (Hartson, 1998). This includes understanding the cognitive consequences of reading and how different presentation methods affect user comprehension and engagement with digital content (Johnson, 2010). Beyond basic functionality, modern UI design, deeply rooted in HCI, increasingly emphasizes holistic user experiences, encompassing aspects like aesthetic appeal, emotional resonance, and sustained engagement (O'Brien & Toms, 2005). This approach ensures that the interactive systems are deliberately tailored to accommodate, complement, and supplement the user's mental processes and preferences, thereby optimizing their cognitive and behavioral responses (Treu, 1977). This shift from a problem-driven design, which primarily seeks to eliminate negative user experiences, towards a possibility-driven design, which focuses on cultivating positive user experiences, represents a significant evolution in the field (Cao et al., 2022).

This paper therefore seeks to identify key HCI principles and methodologies crucial for designing contemporary user interfaces that prioritize usability, accessibility, and user satisfaction. It also aims to explore the challenges and opportunities in integrating emerging technologies and cultural considerations into UI design to foster more adaptive and culturally aware user experiences (Alexander et al., 2021). Specifically, it investigates how user-centered design philosophies, alongside advanced human factors approaches, can be leveraged to create intelligent human-computer interaction systems that seamlessly integrate into users' lives (Xu, 2021).

This includes analyzing the influence of cross-cultural variations on user expectations and interaction patterns, thereby broadening the applicability and appeal of UI designs across global markets. This paper will also delve into the application of personas and other user modeling techniques as essential tools for empathizing with target users and designing interfaces that resonate with their specific needs and cultural backgrounds (Mikhlina & Saukkonen, 2024) (Reinecke & Bernstein, 2011).

This work aims to provide a comprehensive framework that elucidates the intricate relationship between HCI principles and contemporary UI design paradigms, offering actionable insights for practitioners and researchers alike. It further contributes by highlighting the potential of AI-driven tools in enhancing user experience research and design processes, thereby accelerating the development of human-centered interactive systems (Lu et al., 2024). Moreover, this study critically evaluates existing evaluation methodologies for urban interfaces, proposing enhancements that better capture the nuanced interplay between digital systems and the urban human experience (Gutiérrez et al., 2019).

Literature Review

1. History of User Interface Design

From its rudimentary beginnings with command-line interfaces, UI design has undergone a profound transformation, driven by technological advancements and an evolving understanding of human cognitive processes. Early interfaces, characterized by textual commands and minimal graphical elements, demanded significant cognitive load from users, contrasting sharply with today's intuitive and visually rich graphical user interfaces. These early systems primarily served expert users, who possessed the technical acumen to navigate complex syntax and abstract commands, limiting accessibility for a broader user base. The evolution from these command-driven systems to more visually intuitive and interactive paradigms marked a pivotal shift towards user-centric design principles, making technology accessible to a wider demographic. The advent of the graphical user interface revolutionized interaction by introducing metaphors like desktops, windows, icons, and pointers, significantly reducing the learning curve and cognitive burden for new users. This paradigm shift underscored the importance of visual representation and direct manipulation, paving the way for more natural and engaging human-computer interactions (Alzahrani & Alnanih, 2020).

2. Evolution of Human-Computer Interaction

This evolutionary trajectory highlights a continuous refinement in designing interactive systems, moving from systems constrained by hardware limitations to those increasingly informed by an understanding of human psychology and behavior. The field has progressed from merely enabling task completion to actively enhancing user experience, encompassing aspects like emotional responses, cognitive load, and overall satisfaction (Virvou, 2023). Central to this evolution is the paradigm shift from problem-driven design, focused on mitigating negative user experiences, to a more expansive, possibility-driven approach that cultivates positive user experiences by integrating hedonic aspects and emotional considerations into the design process (Jaramillo & Vargas-Lombardo, 2013). This progression reflects a deeper understanding of user needs and preferences, driven by advancements in cognitive science, psychology, and ergonomics. The term ergonomics, initially coined in 1857 to describe worker productivity, has since evolved to encompass the broader understanding of human-system interactions aimed at optimizing both human well-being and system performance (Kitson et al., 2018). The concept of user-centered design, a cornerstone of human-computer interaction, has been instrumental in this evolution, ensuring that the development process prioritizes the user's needs, capabilities, and limitations from the outset (Kaushik & Jain, 2014).

3. Key Principles of HCI

Central to effective HCI are principles such as discoverability, feedback, consistency, and affordance, which collectively contribute to intuitive and efficient user interactions. These principles emphasize clarity in design, ensuring users can easily understand available actions, receive clear responses to their inputs, and encounter familiar patterns across different interfaces (Marsh, 1990). Adherence to these principles mitigates user frustration and reduces the cognitive load associated with learning new systems, fostering a more natural and fluid interaction experience (Marcus, 2001). Moreover, the multidisciplinary nature of HCI, drawing from fields such as cognitive psychology, graphic design, and human factors, underpins its ability to address the multifaceted challenges of creating user-friendly systems (Carayannis, 2013). A holistic understanding of human needs is paramount for designing interfaces that are not only functional but also understandable, usable, and pleasurable (Melles et al., 2020). This necessitates a deliberate shift from solely focusing on functionality to embracing emotional design, wherein interfaces are crafted to evoke positive affective responses at visceral, behavioral, and reflective levels (Adelson, 2008).

4. Modern UI Design Trends

The integration of artificial intelligence and machine learning, for instance, has enabled adaptive UIs that personalize experiences based on individual user behavior and preferences, marking a significant departure from static interfaces. This shift towards dynamic systems, capable of intelligently adapting to and responding to users' changing needs, is further explored

Vol. 1, No. 1, January 2026, pp. 31-46
https://doi.org/10.56861/inheriten.v1i1.177

through the lens of temporal interaction, which emphasizes the design of interfaces that evolve with the user's behavioral and emotional states over time (He et al., 2023). This burgeoning field focuses on comprehending and incorporating the temporal component of user interactions to develop dynamic and individualized user experiences. Furthermore, principles of universal design, initially conceived over two decades ago, continue to influence modern UI trends by advocating for interfaces that are accessible and usable by the widest possible range of users, regardless of their abilities or situational constraints (Steinfeld et al., 2019). This approach mandates that interfaces be intuitive, easily understood, and perceptible, even for individuals with varying levels of experience, knowledge, or ability (Sanford, 2015). A significant challenge in achieving universal usability lies in designing interfaces that are simultaneously effective for users from diverse cultural backgrounds, requiring substantial adaptation (Miraz et al., 2021).

5. Theoretical Frameworks

This adaptation often necessitates consideration of cultural nuances in iconography, color symbolism, and interaction patterns, highlighting the importance of culturally sensitive design in achieving global usability. Addressing this, contemporary frameworks propose moving beyond a "one size fits all" approach to embrace dynamic diversity, recognizing that user needs are not static and can change over time (Gregor et al., 2002). To this end, research is increasingly exploring the integration of Large Language Models with established methodologies like personas to create more adaptable and responsive UX designs (Huang, 2024). Furthermore, the emergence of webcams and mobile devices has necessitated a complete re-evaluation of traditional user interface paradigms, placing a renewed emphasis on intuitive ease of use (Hsu & Shiau, 2013).

Methodology

These technological advancements have driven the need for more sophisticated methodological approaches to assess and optimize human-computer interaction across diverse platforms and contexts. This re-evaluation extends to the development of adaptive user interface systems, which require sophisticated models to integrate user characteristics, contextual factors, and device capabilities (Castillejo et al., 2014). Such systems aim to provide personalized experiences by dynamically adjusting the interface based on real-time user input and environmental conditions, thereby enhancing accessibility for diverse user groups, including those with disabilities (Zouhaier et al., 2017). This adaptive capacity is crucial for fostering inclusivity, allowing interfaces to cater to varying user needs, such as those with low literacy, by offering customizable settings like contrast, typography, and scaling (Srivastava et al., 2021) (R, 2025). This adaptive capacity is crucial for fostering inclusivity, allowing interfaces to cater to varying user needs, such as those with low literacy, by offering customizable settings like contrast, typography, and scaling.

Moreover, the development of robust methodologies for evaluating these adaptive interfaces is paramount to ensure their effectiveness and to refine their ability to personalize user experiences without imposing undue cognitive burdens or compromising usability. This includes the application of qualitative and quantitative research methods to capture nuanced user behaviors and preferences, alongside the development of sophisticated analytical tools to interpret complex interaction data. Crucially, the success of adaptive interfaces hinges on their capacity to integrate a comprehensive user model that captures diverse user characteristics, enabling the system to tailor interactions effectively (Mejía et al., 2012). The user model, therefore, must encompass not only explicit preferences but also implicit behavioral patterns, which can be discerned through continuous monitoring and analysis of user interactions (Mikhlina & Saukkonen, 2024). This continuous adaptation necessitates the development of sophisticated algorithms capable of understanding and predicting user intent, thereby minimizing user effort and optimizing task completion (Findlater & Gajos, 2009).

Result and Discussion

1. Core Concepts of Human-Computer Interaction

Usability, a foundational concept in human-computer interaction, refers to the extent to which a product can be used by specified users to achieve specified goals with effectiveness, efficiency, and satisfaction in a specified context of use. This definition encompasses methods for improving ease-of-use throughout the design process, emphasizing the pragmatic aspects of interaction (Rute-Pérez et al., 2014). It involves not only the intuitiveness of the interface but also the learnability, memorability, error prevention, and overall user satisfaction (Chen et al., 2024). A key challenge in achieving high usability lies in balancing the often-conflicting demands of various user groups and contexts, especially when designing for diverse populations (Dillon, 1983). This includes consideration of the cognitive load imposed on users, which adaptive systems aim to minimize by intelligently reconfiguring interfaces to suit immediate needs and reduce operator memory burdens (Jalil, 2021) (Khawaja et al., 2013).

Accessibility, a closely related but distinct concept, focuses on ensuring that products, services, and environments are usable by people with the widest range of abilities and disabilities. This involves designing interfaces that accommodate diverse physical, sensory, and cognitive needs, often through flexible design choices and assistive technologies. This principle extends beyond mere compliance with standards to encompass a proactive design philosophy that anticipates and mitigates barriers, fostering equitable access for all users (Zimmermann et al., 2014). This proactive approach aims to bridge the gap between human capabilities and technological demands, thereby enhancing the overall user experience and promoting broader adoption of digital technologies (Lund, 1996). While usability often focuses on the general user experience, accessibility specifically addresses the needs of individuals with disabilities, ensuring that design choices do not inadvertently exclude certain user groups. Usability, on the other hand, emphasizes the overall quality of the user's experience when interacting with a system, focusing on factors like learnability, efficiency, and satisfaction (Fang & Lin, 2019). This includes consideration of the cognitive load imposed on users, which adaptive systems aim to minimize by intelligently reconfiguring interfaces to suit immediate needs and reduce operator memory burdens.

User Experience (UX), User Experience encompasses all aspects of an end-user's interaction with a company, its services, and its products, extending beyond mere interface design to include emotional responses, perceptions, and overall satisfaction. Usability specifically pertains to the ability of a system to meet the functional and objective requirements of its users through its technical and ergonomic properties (Menant et al., 2021). A key aspect of this distinction is that while usability generally aims for universal applicability, accessibility specifically addresses variances in user abilities and ensures that systems are adaptable to individual requirements (Yates, 2005).

Affordance, in the context of human-computer interaction, refers to the perceived and actual properties of an object that determine how it could possibly be used, guiding users intuitively towards correct interactions without explicit instructions. This concept suggests that the design of an interface should inherently communicate its functionality, enabling users to instinctively understand how to interact with it (Allman & West, 2021). It is a critical element for designing intuitive interfaces that minimize the cognitive load on users by making the intended use of interactive elements self-evident (Roofigari-Esfahan et al., 2023). This innate understanding reduces the need for extensive training, thereby enhancing efficiency and user satisfaction (Menant et al., 2021). This principle, rooted in ecological psychology, highlights the importance of designing digital objects that visually and functionally suggest their possible

Vol. 1, No. 1, January 2026, pp. 31-46
https://doi.org/10.56861/inheriten.v1i1.177

actions, thereby bridging the gap between user intent and system response (Strappini et al., 2024).

Feedback, an indispensable component of effective human-computer interaction, involves providing users with information about the results of their actions or the current state of the system, thereby enabling them to understand, confirm, or correct their interactions. This continuous communication loop is crucial for maintaining user engagement and reducing cognitive dissonance, as it allows users to form accurate mental models of the system's behavior. The timeliness and clarity of feedback are paramount, as delayed or ambiguous responses can lead to frustration and errors, thus undermining the overall user experience. Effective feedback mechanisms often leverage visual, auditory, and haptic cues to convey information instantaneously, thereby facilitating immediate user comprehension and adjustment (Hou, 2021).

2. HCI in UI Design Process

First, User research is a systematic investigation into the behaviors, needs, and motivations of users, serving as the foundational phase for informing design decisions and ensuring the eventual product aligns with real-world user requirements. This involves employing various methodologies, such as surveys, interviews, and ethnographic studies, to gather qualitative and quantitative data that illuminates user pain points, preferences, and workflows (Cao et al., 2022). The insights gleaned from this research are then translated into actionable design principles, informing the creation of interfaces that are not only aesthetically pleasing but also highly functional and user-centric (Bylinskii et al., 2023). This iterative process ensures that the design continually evolves based on empirical data rather than assumptions, thereby mitigating risks associated with misaligned user expectations. This foundational understanding of user behavior is crucial for developing interfaces that resonate with target audiences, ultimately leading to higher user satisfaction and engagement (Li & Jiang, 2018).

Prototyping involves the creation of preliminary versions of a product or system, serving as a critical step in the iterative design process to visualize and test design concepts before full-scale development. These early models, ranging from low-fidelity wireframes to high-fidelity interactive simulations, enable designers to gather early feedback, identify usability issues, and refine the user experience effectively and efficiently (Gudonienė et al., 2023). This iterative process of building, testing, and refining prototypes is crucial for bridging the communication gap between designers and users, allowing for the incorporation of user feedback to create a more usable system (Nicklas et al., 2020) (Kumar & Rashid, 2018). This agile approach helps to identify potential problems early in the development cycle, reducing costs and accelerating the overall design process (Santos et al., 2021). This flexibility in prototyping allows designers to select the most appropriate methodology based on the specific design task and the desired level of detail (Virzi et al., 1990).

Usability testing is a systematic evaluation method where representative users interact with a product or system to identify usability problems and gather qualitative and quantitative data about user performance and satisfaction. This empirical approach provides invaluable insights into the intuitiveness, efficiency, and overall user satisfaction of a design, thereby informing subsequent iterative refinements (Rashid, 2024). This process often involves observing users as they complete specific tasks, noting any difficulties or unexpected behaviors, and collecting their feedback on the experience. By observing real users interacting with prototypes, designers can gain a deeper understanding of user needs and refine the interface to better meet those needs (Gould, 1987). This early visualization helps minimize confusion and misunderstandings that can arise from abstract specifications by providing concrete representations of proposed information systems (Orobey et al., 2020).

Iterative design is a cyclic process of prototyping, testing, analyzing, and refining a product or system, emphasizing continuous improvement based on user feedback and evaluation. This cyclical approach ensures that design decisions are continually informed by empirical data, leading to a refined and optimized user experience that aligns closely with user needs and expectations. This approach aligns with agile methodologies by integrating usability and user experience considerations throughout the development lifecycle, ensuring that the final product is both valuable and usable (Sousa & Valentim, 2019). This continuous refinement enables designers to progressively enhance the product, addressing emerging issues and incorporating new insights from ongoing user interactions. Prototyping enables both engineers and users to "test drive" software, ensuring it meets user needs and improving engineers' understanding of technical demands (Gordon & Bieman, 1993).

Finally, Evaluation in the context of Human-Computer Interaction involves the systematic assessment of a user interface to determine its effectiveness, efficiency, and user satisfaction, often employing a diverse array of methodologies to comprehensively appraise the system's performance. These evaluations, often conducted by HCI specialists or software engineers, significantly influence both the user interface and the underlying business logic, thereby ensuring that design enhancements are thoroughly vetted against both usability and strategic objectives (Hartson & Pyla, 2012). It serves as a critical mechanism for validating design decisions and identifying areas for improvement, ranging from heuristic evaluations and cognitive walkthroughs to A/B testing and user surveys. One common and highly effective evaluation method is heuristic evaluation, which involves experts assessing the interface against a set of established usability principles to uncover potential issues (Paz et al., 2018).

3. Modern UI Technologies and HCI

a. Mobile Interfaces

These interfaces are characterized by their touch-centric interaction paradigms, compact screen real estate, and often intermittent connectivity, necessitating highly intuitive and efficient designs to accommodate diverse user contexts and needs. The design of mobile UIs must account for the unique constraints and opportunities presented by handheld devices, including considerations for one-handed use, gestural inputs, and context-aware adaptability (Brandtzæg et al., 2015). Usability evaluation techniques, such as heuristic evaluations, are particularly crucial for mobile browsing given the specific challenges of smaller screens and diverse user environments (Gómez et al., 2014). Further research indicates that systematic surveys and in-depth interviews can identify critical areas for improvement in mobile application UI design, enhancing both user convenience and information accuracy (Lee & Kim, 2017). Despite the inherent complexities, defining usability in the mobile context often references ISO 9241–11, which delineates it as the extent to which a product can be used by specified users to achieve particular goals with effectiveness, efficiency, and satisfaction in a specified context of use (Jung, 2015). This standard further specifies that the evaluation of usability focuses on three distinct qualities: effectiveness, efficiency, and user satisfaction (Weichbroth, 2025).

b. Web Interfaces

Web interfaces, evolving from static pages to highly interactive and dynamic applications, demand sophisticated HCI considerations to manage complex information architectures and diverse user interactions across various devices and browsers. This evolution necessitates a strong emphasis on responsive design principles, ensuring seamless usability and accessibility across a multitude of screen sizes and input methods. Heuristic evaluation, a cornerstone of usability assessment, involves experienced evaluators applying a set of recognized usability principles to identify interface issues. These principles, such as Nielsen's 10 usability heuristics, provide a framework for identifying common usability problems and are widely

Vol. 1, No. 1, January 2026, pp. 31-46
https://doi.org/10.56861/inheriten.v1i1.177

adopted for their effectiveness in improving user experience (Gonzalez-Holland et al., 2017). This method is particularly effective for identifying fundamental usability issues early in the development cycle, thereby reducing the cost and effort associated with rectifying problems post-launch. These established principles are constantly being refined and adapted, with new proposals integrating trusted sources to offer a more comprehensive framework for evaluating interactive systems (Granollers, 2018).

c. Virtual Reality (VR) Interfaces

Virtual Reality Interfaces immerse users in simulated environments, demanding novel HCI approaches to manage complex spatial interactions, mitigate cybersickness, and enhance the sense of presence and embodiment. Given the immersive nature of VR, the evaluation of these interfaces extends beyond traditional usability metrics to include factors such as presence, immersion, and simulator sickness (Murtza et al., 2017). This immersive quality necessitates a re-evaluation of standard usability metrics, extending to cognitive and affective dimensions previously less emphasized in conventional UI design (Pizzolante et al., 2024). Furthermore, despite significant advancements, a clear set of established principles for VR usability is still under development, often requiring adaptation from traditional desktop-based models to address unique aspects like physical ergonomics and expanded fields of view (Perez et al., 2019). A strong sense of naturalness in interaction and enhanced presence within these virtual environments correlates directly with increased user engagement and overall satisfaction (Bialkova & Ros, 2021). This includes considering the believability of the environment and the extent to which users perceive themselves as being within the virtual space, even when physically situated elsewhere (Bialkova & Ros, 2021).

d. Augmented Reality (AR) Interfaces

AR interfaces overlay digital information onto the real world, presenting unique HCI challenges related to contextual awareness, seamless integration of virtual and physical elements, and intuitive interaction paradigms that do not obstruct the user's perception of reality. The design of AR interfaces must therefore meticulously balance the provision of digital enhancements with the preservation of real-world perception, often leveraging principles of visual coherence and spatial anchoring to achieve this delicate equilibrium. The efficacy of AR experiences is further enhanced by robust tracking systems and precise calibration, which minimize latency and distortion, thereby ensuring that digital overlays remain stable and contextually relevant. This delicate balance is crucial for fostering user acceptance and minimizing cognitive load, ensuring that the overlaid digital content augments, rather than detracts from, the user's interaction with their physical surroundings. Recent advancements in computer vision and hardware capabilities have significantly propelled the development of sophisticated AR prototypes across diverse architectural and design applications, necessitating more intuitive visualization platforms for efficient digital information utilization (Wang, 2009). These advancements enable AR systems to project high-quality, reality-like images that seamlessly integrate with the user's environment, thereby enriching real-world perceptions with digital content and fostering attractive applications across various sectors (Zhan et al., 2020). This integration of virtual elements with the physical environment distinguishes AR from other interaction paradigms by allowing users to maintain an uninterrupted connection with their surroundings, thus keeping their attention fixed on the real world rather than solely on a device screen (AlGerafi et al., 2023).

e. Voice User Interfaces (VUIs)

Voice User Interfaces represent a significant paradigm shift in HCI, enabling natural language interactions that necessitate sophisticated speech recognition, natural language understanding, and context-aware response generation to ensure intuitive and efficient user experiences. This technology creates an experience where digital objects are superimposed over an existing environment, merging virtual or computer-generated content with the real world (Tosto et al., 2022). The integration of digital elements into the physical environment through AR technologies allows for a continuous engagement with the real world, fundamentally altering how users interact with digital information while maintaining situational awareness (Endsley et al., 2017). This allows users to maintain focus on their immediate surroundings, promoting a more fluid and less intrusive interaction compared to screen-based interfaces (Arredondo, 2020). For instance, AR applications can display dynamic price tags or product reviews directly within a physical retail environment, transforming passive observation into an interactive information-gathering process ("International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering (IJRTE)," 2019).

4. Case Studies

Case Study 1: Mobile Banking App

This application aims to streamline common banking operations through an intuitive user interface that prioritizes accessibility and security. This approach aims to reduce the mental effort required for complex financial tasks, enhancing user convenience and engagement with the service (Modliński, 2024). The utility of AR is further demonstrated in applications where it facilitates the visual examination of products within a user's own physical space, such as furniture or beauty products, thereby reducing decision-making uncertainty and enhancing interactive immersion (Hsu et al., 2021) (Whang et al., 2021). For instance, applications like Houzz allow users to preview furniture in their homes before purchase, providing a simulated "try-on" experience that enhances consumer confidence and decision-making (Hsu et al., 2021). Such capabilities enable customers to virtually place items like an IKEA sofa in their living room or try on makeup, enhancing online shopping by allowing them to see how products fit their personal needs or environments (Hilken et al., 2017).

Case Study 2: E-commerce Website

This case study focuses on optimizing the user journey from product discovery to checkout, emphasizing personalized recommendations and a streamlined payment process. This personalized approach leverages user data to anticipate needs and guide consumers efficiently through the purchasing funnel, ultimately improving conversion rates and customer satisfaction. AR applications extend this interactive preview capability beyond home furnishings to diverse commercial sectors, enabling users to virtually visualize products like clothing or chemical structures in 3D, thereby enriching the shopping experience and facilitating informed decisions prior to purchase (Arena et al., 2022) (Arena et al., 2022). This virtual try-on functionality, widely adopted by industries such as beauty and apparel, significantly reduces perceived risk and enhances purchase intention by allowing consumers to interactively experience products in a highly realistic and personalized manner (Kips et al., 2022) (Whang et al., 2021). The accuracy of virtual content, particularly color representation in beauty products, is paramount for user reliance and subsequent purchase intention, as consumers depend on AR features to inform their buying decisions (Gabriel et al., 2023).

Case Study 3: Healthcare Application

This application is designed to assist medical professionals in managing patient data and improving diagnostic accuracy through an intuitive, data-rich interface. The interface provides real-time access to patient histories, lab results, and imaging studies, consolidating disparate data streams into a singular, cohesive view to support clinical decision-making. These AR tools significantly enhance the online shopping experience by enabling interactive product visualization and reducing purchase uncertainty for consumers, leading to positive market feedback (Guo & Zhang, 2024) (Hsu et al., 2021). This enhanced visualization capability significantly increases user engagement and satisfaction, directly influencing purchase intention and brand loyalty (Wang et al., 2021) (Chaudary et al., 2024). These interactive and immersive experiences, particularly in e-commerce, have been shown to boost customer

Vol. 1, No. 1, January 2026, pp. 31-46
https://doi.org/10.56861/inheriten.v1i1.177

engagement and improve purchasing decisions, thereby strengthening long-term consumer-brand relationships (Sarkis et al., 2025) (Kovács & Keresztes, 2024).

5. Challenges and Future Directions

This involves designing interfaces that accommodate individuals with varying physical, sensory, and cognitive abilities, ensuring equal access and usability for all. Achieving this requires adhering to established accessibility guidelines and incorporating inclusive design principles from the outset of the development process. The continuous innovation in AR content is crucial, as consumers can quickly habituate to new technologies, necessitating ongoing development to maintain user engagement and satisfaction (Song et al., 2019). The ethical implications of HCI in UI design, particularly concerning data privacy, algorithmic bias, and user manipulation, demand rigorous attention to foster trustworthy and equitable digital environments. Addressing these ethical dimensions necessitates the implementation of robust data governance frameworks, transparent algorithmic practices, and user-centric design principles that prioritize informed consent and data sovereignty. Advancements in artificial intelligence, virtual reality, and haptics are rapidly redefining the landscape of user interface design, offering unprecedented opportunities for immersive and intelligent interactions. These technologies promise to unlock new paradigms in user experience, pushing the boundaries of what is possible in human-computer interaction by enabling more natural, intuitive, and context-aware interfaces.

Conclusion

This paper explored the pivotal role of Human-Computer Interaction in shaping modern User Interface design, emphasizing how intuitive and engaging UIs contribute to enhanced user experience and operational efficiency across diverse applications. It highlighted the critical importance of user-centered design principles, demonstrating how a deep understanding of user behaviors, needs, and cognitive processes is fundamental to creating effective digital interfaces. Implications of this research underscore the necessity for continued investment in HCI research and development, particularly in emerging technologies such as augmented reality and artificial intelligence, to address complex user needs and foster inclusive digital environments. Given these challenges, future work should focus on developing adaptive interfaces that dynamically adjust to individual user preferences and contextual changes, further personalizing the interactive experience. The integration of advanced AI models with HCI paradigms will enable the creation of predictive UIs capable of anticipating user intent and proactively offering relevant information or actions, thereby streamlining complex workflows and enhancing overall system usability.

References

Adelson, B. (2008). The emotional design of everyday things. Journal of the Franklin Institute, 347(4), 681. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfranklin.2008.04.004

Alexander, R., Thompson, N., McGill, T., & Murray, D. (2021). The Influence of User Culture on Website Usability. International Journal of Human-Computer Studies, 154, 102688. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhcs.2021.102688

AlGerafi, M. A. M., Zhou, Y., Oubibi, M., & Wijaya, T. T. (2023). Unlocking the Potential: A Comprehensive Evaluation of Augmented Reality and Virtual Reality in Education. Electronics, 12(18), 3953. https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics12183953

Allman, B., & West, R. E. (2021). Designing Technology-Enhanced Learning Experiences. https://edtechbooks.org/id/designing_technology/pdf_router/print

Alzahrani, H., & Alnanih, R. (2020). A Design Study to Improve user Experience of a Procedure Booking Software in Healthcare. International Journal of Advanced

Computer Science and Applications, 11(11). https://doi.org/10.14569/ijacsa.2020.0111132
Arena, F., Collotta, M., Pau, G., & Termine, F. (2022). An Overview of Augmented Reality. Computers, 11(2), 28. https://doi.org/10.3390/computers11020028
Arredondo, L. A. L. (2020). Mobile augmented reality: A pedagogical strategy in the university setting. Metaverse, 1(2), 9. https://doi.org/10.54517/met.v1i2.1774
Bialkova, S., & Ros, E. (2021). Enhancing Employer Branding via High-Tech Platforms: VR and Digital, What Works Better and How? Management Revue, 32(2), 128. https://doi.org/10.5771/0935-9915-2021-2-128
Boy, G. A. (2022). Aerospace Human System Integration Evolution over the Last 40 Years. arXiv (Cornell University). https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2201.10275
Brandtzæg, P. B., Haugstveit, I. M., Lüders, M., & Følstad, A. (2015). How Should Organizations Adapt to Youth Civic Engagement in Social Media? A Lead User Approach. Interacting with Computers, 28(5), 664. https://doi.org/10.1093/iwc/iwv041
Bylinskii, Z., Herman, L., Hertzmann, A., Hutka, S., & Zhang, Y. (2023). Towards Better User Studies in Computer Graphics and Vision. Foundations and Trends® in Computer Graphics and Vision, 15(3), 201. https://doi.org/10.1561/0600000106
Cao, E., Duan, Y., Jiang, J., Peng, H., & Hu, W. (2022). Exploring the Positive User Experience Possibilities Based on Product Emotion Theory: A Beverage Unmanned Retail Terminal Case. Frontiers in Psychology, 13. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.889664
Carayannis, E. G. (2013). Human-Computer Interaction. In Springer eBooks (p. 869). Springer Nature. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-3858-8_100431
Castillejo, E., Almeida, A., & López-de-Ipiña, D. (2014). Modelling users, context and devices for adaptive user interface systems. International Journal of Pervasive Computing and Communications, 10(1), 69. https://doi.org/10.1108/ijpcc-09-2013-0028
Chaudary, S., Ranade, P., & Verma, I. K. (2024). Augmented Reality Retail Solution for Enhanced Shopping Experiences: Design Intervention for Retail Industry. 14. https://doi.org/10.3390/engproc2024066014
Chen, K., Shao, A., Burapacheep, J., & Li, Y. (2024). Conversational AI and equity through assessing GPT-3's communication with diverse social groups on contentious topics. Scientific Reports, 14(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-51969-w
Dillon, R. F. (1983). Human factors in user-computer interaction: An introduction. Behavior Research Methods & Instrumentation, 15(2), 195. https://doi.org/10.3758/bf03203548
Endsley, T., Sprehn, K. A., Brill, R., Ryan, K. J., Vincent, E. C., & Martin, J. (2017). Augmented Reality Design Heuristics: Designing for Dynamic Interactions. Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society Annual Meeting, 61(1), 2100. https://doi.org/10.1177/1541931213602007
Fang, Y.-M., & Lin, C. H. (2019). The Usability Testing of VR Interface for Tourism Apps. Applied Sciences, 9(16), 3215. https://doi.org/10.3390/app9163215
Findlater, L., & Gajos, K. Z. (2009). Design Space and Evaluation Challenges of Adaptive Graphical User Interfaces. AI Magazine, 30(4), 68. https://doi.org/10.1609/aimag.v30i4.2268
Forlizzi, J. (2018). Moving beyond user-centered design. Interactions, 25(5), 22. https://doi.org/10.1145/3239558
Gabriel, A., Ajriya, A. D., Fahmi, C. Z. N., & Handayani, P. W. (2023). The influence of augmented reality on E-commerce: A case study on fashion and beauty products.

Cogent Business & Management, 10(2). https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2023.2208716

Gómez, R. Y., Caballero, D. C., & Sevillano, J. L. (2014). Heuristic Evaluation on Mobile Interfaces: A New Checklist. The Scientific World JOURNAL, 2014, 1. https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/434326

Gonzalez-Holland, E., Whitmer, D. E., Moralez, L., & Mouloua, M. (2017). Examination of the Use of Nielsen's 10 Usability Heuristics & Outlooks for the Future. Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society Annual Meeting, 61(1), 1472. https://doi.org/10.1177/1541931213601853

Gordon, V. S., & Bieman, J. M. (1993). Reported effects of rapid prototyping on industrial software quality. Software Quality Journal, 2(2), 93. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00590438

Gould, J. D. (1987). HOW TO DESIGN USABLE SYSTEMS. In Elsevier eBooks. Elsevier BV. https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-444-70304-0.50010-8

Granollers, T. (2018). Usability Evaluation with Heuristics. New Proposal from Integrating Two Trusted Sources. In Lecture notes in computer science (p. 396). Springer Science+Business Media. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-91797-9_28

Gregor, P., Newell, A. F., & Zajicek, M. (2002). Designing for dynamic diversity. https://doi.org/10.1145/638249.638277

Gudonienė, D., Stanevičienė, E., Buksnaitis, V., & Daley, N. (2023). The Scenarios of Artificial Intelligence and Wireframes Implementation in Engineering Education. Sustainability, 15(8), 6850. https://doi.org/10.3390/su15086850

Guo, C., & Zhang, X. (2024). The impact of AR online shopping experience on customer purchase intention: An empirical study based on the TAM model. PLoS ONE, 19(8). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0309468

Gutiérrez, L. C. A., Martín-Gutiérrez, J., & Del-Rio-Guerra, M. S. (2019). Having a Smarter City through Digital Urban Interfaces: An Evaluation Method. Applied Sciences, 9(17), 3498. https://doi.org/10.3390/app9173498

Hartson, H. R. (1998). Human–computer interaction: Interdisciplinary roots and trends. Journal of Systems and Software, 43(2), 103. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0164-1212(98)10026-2

Hartson, R., & Pyla, P. (2012). The UX Book: Process and Guidelines for Ensuring a Quality User Experience. https://cds.cern.ch/record/1437707

He, L., Jiao, B., & Liu, Y. (2023). Temporal Interaction -- Bridging Time and Experience in Human-Computer Interaction. arXiv (Cornell University). https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2308.11181

Hefley, W. E., Buie, E., Lynch, G., Müller, M., Hoecker, D. G., Carter, J., & Roth, J. T. (1994). Integrating Human Factors with Software Engineering Practices. Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society Annual Meeting, 38(4), 315. https://doi.org/10.1177/154193129403800427

Hilken, T., Ruyter, K. de, Chylinski, M., Mahr, D., & Keeling, D. (2017). Augmenting the eye of the beholder: exploring the strategic potential of augmented reality to enhance online service experiences. Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 45(6), 884. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-017-0541-x

Hou, L. (2021). RETRACTED: Research on Virtual Reality Experience Design System Based on Artificial Intelligence Technology and Mental Model. Journal of Physics Conference Series, 2037(1), 12114. https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/2037/1/012114

Hsu, C., & Shiau, H.-C. (2013). The Visual Web User Interface Design in Augmented Reality Technology. International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications, 4(2). https://doi.org/10.14569/ijacsa.2013.040218

Hsu, S. H.-Y., Tsou, H., & Chen, J. (2021). “Yes, we do. Why not use augmented reality?” customer responses to experiential presentations of AR-based applications. Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services, 62, 102649. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2021.102649

Huang, Y. (2024). Generating User Experience Based on Personas with AI Assistants. 181. https://doi.org/10.1145/3639478.3639810

Hudlická, E. (2003). To feel or not to feel: The role of affect in human–computer interaction. International Journal of Human-Computer Studies, 59, 1. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1071-5819(03)00047-8

International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering (IJRTE). (2019). International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering (IJRTE). https://doi.org/10.35940/ijrte.2277-3878

Jalil, N. (2021). Introduction to Intelligent User Interfaces (IUIs). In IntechOpen eBooks. IntechOpen. https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.97789

Jaramillo, Z., & Vargas-Lombardo, M. (2013). Framework of User Participation for the Interface Design in the SaaS Layer. Journal of Software Engineering and Applications, 6(5), 236. https://doi.org/10.4236/jsea.2013.65029

Johnson, J. (2010). Designing with the Mind in Mind: Simple Guide to Understanding User Interface Design Rules. http://opac.library.uib.ac.id/index.php?p=show_detail&id=5768&keywords=

Jung, W. (2015). The Effect of the User Interface Design of Smartphone Applications on Users’ Individual Experiences of Performance. In Lecture notes in electrical engineering (p. 383). Springer Science+Business Media. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-47895-0_46

Kaushik, M., & Jain, R. (2014). Natural User Interfaces: Trend in Virtual Interaction. arXiv (Cornell University). https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.1405.0101

Khawaja, M. A., Chen, F., & Marcus, N. (2013). Measuring Cognitive Load Using Linguistic Features: Implications for Usability Evaluation and Adaptive Interaction Design. International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction, 30(5), 343. https://doi.org/10.1080/10447318.2013.860579

Kips, R., Jiang, R., Ba, S., Duke, B., Perrot, M., Gori, P., & Bloch, I. (2022). Real-time Virtual-Try-On from a Single Example Image through Deep Inverse Graphics and Learned Differentiable Renderers. arXiv (Cornell University). https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2205.06305

Kitson, A., Prpa, M., & Riecke, B. E. (2018). Immersive Interactive Technologies for Positive Change: A Scoping Review and Design Considerations [Review of Immersive Interactive Technologies for Positive Change: A Scoping Review and Design Considerations]. Frontiers in Psychology, 9. Frontiers Media. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.01354

Kovács, I., & Keresztes, É. R. (2024). Digital Innovations in E-Commerce: Augmented Reality Applications in Online Fashion Retail—A Qualitative Study among Gen Z Consumers. Informatics, 11(3), 56. https://doi.org/10.3390/informatics11030056

Kumar, M., & Rashid, E. (2018). An Efficient Software Development Life cycle Model for Developing Software Project. International Journal of Education and Management Engineering, 8(6), 59. https://doi.org/10.5815/ijeme.2018.06.06

Lee, J., & Kim, S.-I. (2017). A Study on Development of Travel Application UI Design for Improving User Experience. Journal of Digital Convergence, 15(2), 369. https://doi.org/10.14400/jdc.2017.15.2.369

Vol. 1, No. 1, January 2026, pp. 31-46
https://doi.org/10.56861/inheriten.v1i1.177

Li, C., & Jiang, Y. (2018). Research on the Optimization Method of Website Based on User Experience. In Advances in intelligent systems and computing (p. 412). Springer Nature. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-94947-5_42

Liu, Y., Osvalder, A., & Karlsso, M. (2010). Considering the Importance of User Profiles in Interface Design. In InTech eBooks. https://doi.org/10.5772/8903

Lu, Y., Yang, Y., Zhao, Q., Zhang, C., & Li, T. J.-J. (2024). AI Assistance for UX: A Literature Review Through Human-Centered AI [Review of AI Assistance for UX: A Literature Review Through Human-Centered AI]. arXiv (Cornell University). Cornell University. https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2402.06089

Lund, A. M. (1996). New Forms of Collaboration for NII Usability. Social Science Computer Review, 14(1), 27. https://doi.org/10.1177/089443939601400108

Marcus, A. (2001). Cross-cultural user-interface design for work, home, play, and on the way. 221. https://doi.org/10.1145/501516.501560

Marsh, S. (1990). Human computer interaction: an operational definition. ACM SIGCHI Bulletin, 22(1), 16. https://doi.org/10.1145/101288.101291

Mejía, A., Juárez-Ramírez, R., Inzunza, S., & Valenzuela, R. (2012). Implementing adaptive interfaces. 598. https://doi.org/10.1145/2381716.2381831

Melles, M., Albayrak, A., & Goossens, R. (2020). Innovating health care: key characteristics of human-centered design. International Journal for Quality in Health Care, 33, 37. https://doi.org/10.1093/intqhc/mzaa127

Menant, L., Gilibert, D., & Sauvezon, C. (2021). The Application of Acceptance Models to Human Resource Information Systems: A Literature Review [Review of The Application of Acceptance Models to Human Resource Information Systems: A Literature Review]. Frontiers in Psychology, 12. Frontiers Media. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.659421

Mikhlina, A., & Saukkonen, J. (2024). Using Personas in Human Capital Management: A Novel Concept Affected by Legal, Ethical and Technological Considerations. Electronic Journal of Knowledge Management, 22(2), 50. https://doi.org/10.34190/ejkm.22.2.3594

Miraz, M. H., Ali, M., & Excell, P. S. (2021). Adaptive user interfaces and universal usability through plasticity of user interface design. Computer Science Review, 40, 100363. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosrev.2021.100363

Modliński, A. (2024). TO BOAST OR NOT TO BOAST: THE IMPACT OF ADVERTISING THE USE OF AUTONOMOUS ALGORITHMS TO BANK AND HOSPITAL CUSTOMERS AND EMPLOYEES.

Murtza, R., Monroe, S. A., & Youmans, R. J. (2017). Heuristic Evaluation for Virtual Reality Systems. Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society Annual Meeting, 61(1), 2067. https://doi.org/10.1177/1541931213602000

Nicklas, S. J., Atzberger, A., Westermeyer, J. C. B., & Paetzold, K. (2020). THE USER-DRIVEN MINIMUM FEASIBLE PRODUCT – TOWARDS A NOVEL APPROACH ON USER INTEGRATION. Proceedings of the Design Society DESIGN Conference, 1, 1495. https://doi.org/10.1017/dsd.2020.49

Niess, J., & Woźniak, P. W. (2020). Embracing Companion Technologies. 1. https://doi.org/10.1145/3419249.3420134

O'Brien, H. L., & Toms, E. G. (2005). Engagement as process in human-computer interactions. Proceedings of the American Society for Information Science and Technology, 42(1). https://doi.org/10.1002/meet.14504201233

Orobey, M. N., Alchinov, A. I., & Daudov, I. M. (2020). Theoretical aspects of prototyping. Journal of Physics Conference Series, 1582(1), 12068. https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1582/1/012068

Paz, F., Paz, F. A., Pow-Sang, J. A., & Collazos, C. A. (2018). A Formal Protocol to Conduct Usability Heuristic Evaluations in the Context of the Software Development Process. International Journal of Engineering & Technology, 7, 10. https://doi.org/10.14419/ijet.v7i2.28.12874

Perez, A. A., Hidalgo, M., Lediaeva, I., Mouloua, M., & Hancock, P. A. (2019). Considerations for the Usability and Implementation of Augmented Reality in Production Environments. Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society Annual Meeting, 63(1), 2180. https://doi.org/10.1177/1071181319631453

Pizzolante, M., Bartolotta, S., Sarcinella, E. D., Chirico, A., & Gaggioli, A. (2024). Virtual vs. real: exploring perceptual, cognitive and affective dimensions in design product experiences. BMC Psychology, 12(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40359-023-01497-5

R, L. A. (2025). Beyond Compliance: A User-Autonomy Framework for Inclusive and Customizable Web Accessibility. https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.2506.10324

Rashid, A. (2024). Untitled. https://doi.org/10.55277/researchhub.vq5dnd6h

Reinecke, K., & Bernstein, A. (2011). Improving performance, perceived usability, and aesthetics with culturally adaptive user interfaces. ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction, 18(2), 1. https://doi.org/10.1145/1970378.1970382

Roofigari-Esfahan, N., Morshedzadeh, E., & Dongro, P. (2023). A Conceptual Framework for Designing Interactive Human-Centred Building Spaces to Enhance User Experience in Specific-Purpose Buildings. arXiv (Cornell University). https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2308.14876

Rute-Pérez, S., Santiago-Ramajo, S., Hurtado, M. V., Rodríguez-Fórtiz, M. J., & Caracuel, A. (2014). Challenges in software applications for the cognitive evaluation and stimulation of the elderly. Journal of NeuroEngineering and Rehabilitation, 11(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/1743-0003-11-88

Sandblad, B. (2007). Human-Computer Interaction (HCI). In Encyclopedia of Children, Adolescents, and the Media. https://doi.org/10.4135/9781412952606.n202

Sanford, J. (2015). Universal Design as an Approach to Technology Intervention for Seniors. In Lecture notes in computer science (p. 115). Springer Science+Business Media. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-20892-3_12

Santos, T. B. dos, Campese, C., Marcacini, R. M., Sinoara, R. A., Rezende, S. O., & Mascarenhas, J. (2021). Prototyping for user involvement activities: How to achieve major benefits. CIRP Journal of Manufacturing Science and Technology, 33, 465. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cirpj.2021.04.013

Sarkis, N., Maalouf, N. J. A., Saliba, E., & Azizi, J. (2025). The impact of augmented reality within the fashion industry on purchase decisions, customer engagement, and brand loyalty. International Journal of Fashion Design Technology and Education, 1. https://doi.org/10.1080/17543266.2025.2470187

Song, H. K., Baek, E., & Choo, H. J. (2019). Try-on experience with augmented reality comforts your decision. Information Technology and People, 33(4), 1214. https://doi.org/10.1108/itp-02-2019-0092

Sousa, A. de O., & Valentim, N. M. C. (2019). Prototyping Usability and User Experience. 222. https://doi.org/10.1145/3364641.3364667

Srivastava, A., Kapania, S., Tuli, A., & Singh, P. (2021). Actionable UI Design Guidelines for Smartphone Applications Inclusive of Low-Literate Users. Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction, 5, 1. https://doi.org/10.1145/3449210

Steinfeld, A., Zimmerman, J., & Tomasic, A. (2019). Universal Design and Adaptive Interfaces as a Strategy for Induced Disabilities. arXiv (Cornell University). https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.1904.06134

Vol. 1, No. 1, January 2026, pp. 31-46
https://doi.org/10.56861/inheriten.v1i1.177

Strappini, F., Fagioli, S., Mastandrea, S., & Scorolli, C. (2024). Sustainable materials: a linking bridge between material perception, affordance, and aesthetics [Review of Sustainable materials: a linking bridge between material perception, affordance, and aesthetics]. Frontiers in Psychology, 14. Frontiers Media. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1307467

Tosto, C., Matin, F., Seta, L., Chiazzese, G., Chifari, A., Arrigo, M., Taibi, D., Farella, M., & Mangina, E. (2022). The Potential of AR Solutions for Behavioral Learning: A Scoping Review [Review of The Potential of AR Solutions for Behavioral Learning: A Scoping Review]. Computers, 11(6), 87. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute. https://doi.org/10.3390/computers11060087

Treu, S. (1977). A framework of characteristics applicable to graphical user-computer interaction. https://doi.org/10.1145/1024273.1024281

Virvou, M. (2023). Artificial Intelligence and User Experience in reciprocity: Contributions and state of the art. Intelligent Decision Technologies, 17(1), 73. https://doi.org/10.3233/idt-230092

Virzi, R. A., Penn, D., Tullis, T. S., & Greene, S. L. (1990). The Uses of Prototyping in User Interface Design and Evaluation. Proceedings of the Human Factors Society Annual Meeting, 34(4), 264. https://doi.org/10.1177/154193129003400405

Wang, X. (2009). Augmented Reality in Architecture and Design: Potentials and Challenges for Application. International Journal of Architectural Computing, 7(2), 309. https://doi.org/10.1260/147807709788921985

Wang, Y., Ko, E., & Wang, H. (2021). Augmented reality (AR) app use in the beauty product industry and consumer purchase intention. Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics, 34(1), 110. https://doi.org/10.1108/apjml-11-2019-0684

Weichbroth, P. (2025). Usability Issues With Mobile Applications: Insights From Practitioners and Future Research Directions. arXiv (Cornell University). https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2502.05120

Whang, J. B., Song, J. H., Choi, B., & Lee, J. (2021). The effect of Augmented Reality on purchase intention of beauty products: The roles of consumers' control. Journal of Business Research, 133, 275. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2021.04.057

Xu, W. (2021). User Centered Design (VI): Human Factors Approaches for Intelligent Human-Computer Interaction. arXiv (Cornell University). https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2111.04880

Yates, R. (2005). Web site accessibility and usability: towards more functional sites for all. Campus-Wide Information Systems, 22(4), 180. https://doi.org/10.1108/10650740510617494

Zhan, T., Yin, K., Xiong, J., He, Z., & Wu, S. (2020). Augmented Reality and Virtual Reality Displays: Perspectives and Challenges [Review of Augmented Reality and Virtual Reality Displays: Perspectives and Challenges]. iScience, 23(8), 101397. Cell Press. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2020.101397

Zimmermann, G., Vanderheiden, G. C., & Strobbe, C. (2014). Towards Deep Adaptivity – A Framework for the Development of Fully Context-Sensitive User Interfaces. In Lecture notes in computer science (p. 299). Springer Science+Business Media. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-07437-5_29

Zouhaier, L., Hlaoui, Y. B., & Ayed, L. J. B. (2017). Methodology for the Development of Accessible User Interfaces Based on Meta-Model Transformations: The Case of Blind Users. In Lecture notes in computer science (p. 73). Springer Science+Business Media. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-59105-6_7